

A CEVA-LIKE THEOREM FOR TETRAHEDRA

B.D.S. “BLUE” MCCONNELL
MATH@DAYLATEANDDOLLARSHORT.COM

ABSTRACT. We present a (weak) tetrahedral analogue of Ceva’s Theorem for triangles, relating concurrency of lines to signed triple-ratios of areas.

Ceva’s Theorem for triangles —described by Grünbaum and Shephard [2] as “among the most attractive and useful results in elementary plane geometry”— can be stated thusly:

Theorem 1 (Ceva’s Theorem). *Given triangle $\triangle ABC$, with lines through A, B, C meeting opposite edge-lines at respective points A', B', C' , the three lines concur if and only if*

$$(1) \quad \left[\frac{BA'}{A'C} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{CB'}{B'A} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{AC'}{C'B} \right] = 1$$

where the factors on the left are signed ratios of segment lengths.¹

As one might expect, such a straightforward, fundamental result admits a variety of generalizations in different conceptual directions. Remaining in the plane, one can increase figure complexity: for instance, Grünbaum and Shephard [2] consider arbitrary n -gonal cycles. Or, one may maintain figure simplicity —*simplex-ity?*— while exploring higher dimensions: Goldberg [1] examines tetrahedra (and “space quadrilaterals”); Landy [3] characterizes concurrence via “vertex mass distributions” of simplices in any-dimensional space.

In this note, we present a new(?) generalization of the second kind; however, while our theorem clearly extends to any-dimensional simplices, in the interest of notational clarity we state and prove it specifically for tetrahedra. As with some other generalizations, we must abandon the satisfyingly-clean “if and only if” nature of Ceva’s original result.

Theorem 2 (Ceva’s Theorem for Tetrahedra). *Given tetrahedron $OABC$, with lines through O, A, B, C meeting opposite face-planes at respective points O', A', B', C' , the*

Date: May 4, 2013.

¹Grünbaum and Shephard [2] define the signed ratio $[WX/YZ]$ for collinear segments as the ratio of lengths $|\overline{WX}|$ and $|\overline{YZ}|$, taken as *positive* (or *negative*) if rays \overrightarrow{WX} and \overrightarrow{YZ} point in *the same direction* (respectively, *opposite directions*). For the purposes of generalization to triple-ratios (see below), we “signify” our ratios component-wise, relative to a given direction along the line: a component is *positive* (or *negative*) when its corresponding ray *matches* (respectively, *opposes*) the line’s direction.

four lines concur only if

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & [CBA' : BOA' : OCA'] \\ & \cdot [OAB' : ACB' : COB'] \\ & \cdot [AOC' : OBC' : BAC'] \\ & \cdot [BCO' : CAO' : ABO'] = [1 : 1 : 1] \end{aligned}$$

where the factors on the left are signed triple-ratios of triangle areas.^{2,3,4}

Conversely, if (2) holds, and if three of the lines concur, then all four lines concur.

Proof. Concerned only with ratios of coplanar areas, we can apply an affine transformation so as to position O at the origin, with remaining vertices $A(1, 0, 0)$, $B(0, 1, 0)$, $C(0, 0, 1)$. Letting $P(x, y, z)$ be the point of concurrency for the lines $\overleftrightarrow{AA'}$, $\overleftrightarrow{BB'}$, $\overleftrightarrow{CC'}$, we deduce

$$(3) \quad A' = \frac{(0, y, z)}{1-x} \quad B' = \frac{(x, 0, z)}{1-y} \quad C' = \frac{(x, y, 0)}{1-z}$$

so that

$$(4a) \quad [CBA' : BOA' : OCA'] = \left[\frac{1-x-y-z}{2(1-x)} : \frac{z}{2(1-x)} : \frac{y}{2(1-x)} \right] \\ = [1-x-y-z : z : y]$$

$$(4b) \quad [OAB' : ACB' : COB'] = [z : 1-x-y-z : x]$$

$$(4c) \quad [AOC' : OBC' : BAC'] = [y : x : 1-x-y-z]$$

Now, writing O' generically as (u, v, w) , with $u + v + w = 1$, we compute

$$(5) \quad [BCO' : CAO' : ABO'] = \left[u \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} : v \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} : w \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right] = [u : v : w]$$

Then (2) holds if and only if

$$(6a) \quad uyz(1-x-y-z) = xvz(1-x-y-z) = xyw(1-x-y-z)$$

or, equivalently, if and only if

$$(6b) \quad \frac{u}{x} = \frac{v}{y} = \frac{w}{z}$$

which is to say, $O' = \lambda P$ for some λ : the fourth line, $\overleftrightarrow{OO'}$, contains P . □

²As with signed ratios of lengths (see preceding footnote), we "signify" triple-ratios of areas component-wise, relative to a given orientation of the triangle's common plane: component XYZ is *positive* (or *negative*) if the path $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X$ *matches* (respectively, *opposes*) the plane's orientation. In our coordinatized proof, area-signing issues are automatically accommodated by signs of coordinates.

³Note that $[\lambda x : \lambda y : \lambda z] = [x : y : z]$ for any $\lambda \neq 0$, and that triple ratios multiply *component-wise*.

⁴A tip for understanding the order of the sub-triangle components: tint the tetrahedron's opposing edge-pairs *red* (\overline{OA} , \overline{BC}), *green* (\overline{OB} , \overline{CA}), *blue* (\overline{OC} , \overline{AB}), and assign each sub-triangle the color of the edge it abuts; then the components in each triple-ratio can be read simply as [*red* : *green* : *blue*].

ADDENDUM: WHITHER MENELAUS?

Ceva's Theorem is rarely discussed in the absence of a counterpart attributed to Menelaus. (Indeed, Ceva re-discovered the latter and published it simultaneously with his namesake.)

Theorem 3 (Menelaus' Theorem). *Given triangle $\triangle ABC$, with points A' , B' , C' on the edge-lines opposite respective vertices A , B , C , the points are collinear if and only if*

$$(7) \quad \left[\frac{BA'}{A'C} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{CB'}{B'A} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{AC'}{C'B} \right] = -1$$

Grünbaum and Shephard's note [2] is replete with collinearity results for planar n -gons; Goldberg instead relates *coplanarity* of points (in the context of space quadrilaterals) to a vanishing product of ratios of lengths. If there is something Menelaus-like to be said about tetrahedra and triple-ratios of areas —What's the “ -1 ” version of $[1 : 1 : 1]$, anyway?— it has eluded us, but we include some thoughts here.

Suppose we coordinatize our tetrahedron $OABC$ as previously, and we define these generic points on its face-planes:

$$(8) \quad A'(0, y_A, z_A) \quad B'(x_B, 0, z_B) \quad C'(x_C, y_C, 0) \quad O'(x_O, y_O, z_O), \quad x_O + y_O + z_O = 1$$

Here, the right-hand side of (2) becomes

$$(9) \quad [x_O y_C z_B (1 - y_A - z_A) : x_C y_O z_A (1 - z_B - x_B) : x_B y_A z_O (1 - x_C - y_C)]$$

Now, *collinearity* of the points imposes very strong conditions on the parameters; namely,

$$(10a) \quad B' - O' = \beta (A' - O') \quad \implies \quad \frac{x_B - x_O}{0 - x_O} = \frac{0 - y_O}{y_A - y_O} = \frac{z_B - z_O}{z_A - z_O}$$

$$(10b) \quad C' - O' = \gamma (A' - O') \quad \implies \quad \frac{x_C - x_O}{0 - x_O} = \frac{y_C - y_O}{y_A - y_O} = \frac{0 - z_O}{z_A - z_O}$$

so that the degrees of freedom are cut in half, and we have

$$(11) \quad x_B = \frac{x_O y_A}{y_A - y_O} \quad z_B = \frac{y_A z_O - y_O z_A}{y_A - y_O} \quad x_C = \frac{x_O z_A}{z_A - z_O} \quad y_C = \frac{y_O z_A - y_A z_O}{z_A - z_O}$$

whereby (9) reduces proportionally to

$$(12) \quad \left[(y_A z_O - y_O z_A)^2 : y_A^2 z_O^2 : y_O^2 z_A^2 \right]$$

This is a striking simplification, but it's no $[1 : 1 : 1]$. (Interestingly, any expectations of “ -1 ” appearing somewhere are *vigorously* dashed by sign-nullifying exponents. Also: the square root of (12) is a triple-ratio such that one component is the sum of the other two.)

On the other hand, *coplanarity* of O' , A' , B' , C' is characterized by this relation:

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= (A' - O') \bullet ((B' - O') \times (C' - O')) \\ &= x_O (y_A z_B + y_C z_A - y_C z_B) + y_O (x_B z_A - x_C z_A + x_C z_B) \\ &\quad + z_O (-x_B y_A + x_C y_A + x_B y_C) - x_C y_A z_B - x_B y_C z_A \end{aligned}$$

but an appropriate connection to (9) has not yet presented itself.

REFERENCES

- [1] Goldberg, Nadav. "Spatial Analogues of Ceva's Theorem and its Applications". 2002. <http://www.rose-hulman.edu/mathjournal/archives/2002/vol3-n2/goldberg/goldberg.doc>
- [2] Grünbaum, Branko, and Shephard, G.C. "Ceva, Menelaus, and the Area Principle". *Mathematics Magazine*, Vol. 68, No. 4 (Oct., 1995), pp. 254-268. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2690569>
- [3] Landy, Steven. "A Generalization of Ceva's Theorem to Higher Dimensions". *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 95, No. 10 (Dec., 1988), pp. 936-939. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2322390>